



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/653,203   | 09/03/2003        | Jouko Kinnunen       | 02849.0124          | 2604             |  |
| 22852 7590 02/23/2007<br>FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER<br>LLP |                   |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |                   |                      | LE, NHAN T          |                  |  |
| 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413                           |                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| WASIIIIII  | 11, DC 20001-4415 |                      | 2618                |                  |  |
|  |                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |                   |                      | 02/23/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/653,203      | KINNUNEN ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |
| Nhan T. Le      | 2618            |  |
|                 |                 |  |

|  | Nhan T. Le   | 2618   |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add                            | ress                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>ctice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c  | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                        |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   | р  |  | ·                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  | 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>   | ,,   | ducing or simplifying                        | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  | ected claims.                                |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |  | ·  | _                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  | ll be entered and an e                       | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .   |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | •  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | <u> </u>   | • •  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome all rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa                       | ils to provide a               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attacl                      | ned.                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowa                       | nce because:                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |                                |  |  |  |  |  |

The new limitation "which imitates a known defect" has been added to claims 1, 10, 11, 20. Therefore, the scope of claim has been changed and they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600